UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/521,202 | 01/12/2005 | Michael Schonherr | BGI-175US | 8639 |
| 959 7590 02/22/2008 LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP ONE POST OFFICE SQUARE | | | . EXAMINER | |
| | | | ROGERS, JAMES WILLIAM | |
| BOSTON, MA 02109-2127 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | · | 1618 | |
| , | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/22/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | 10/521,202 | SCHONHERR ET AL. | | | |
| omec Action Cummary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | James W. Rogers, Ph.D. | 1618 | | | |
| Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON | N. imely filed In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 January 2005. | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or expressions. | vn from consideration. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | - · · | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | tion No ved in this National Stage | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summar | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | | | | |

Application/Control Number:

10/521,202 Art Unit: 1618

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-5, drawn to a preparation comprising at least one diformate.

Group II, claim(s) 6-8, drawn to a process for producing coated preparations comprising mixing diformate and appropriate materials and a coating material.

Group III, claim(s) 9, drawn to a process for producing coated preparations by combining diformate in a melt of suitable coating material.

Group IV, claim(s) 10, drawn to a process for producing coated preparations containing diformate by emulsifying in an aqueous solution of a protective colloid and subjecting it to spray drying.

Group V, claim(s) 11, drawn to a process of producing a coated preparation in which diformate is coated by desublimation of the coating material..

Group VI, claim(s) 12-13, drawn to the use of a preparation containing diformate as a premix in animal feed.

Group VII, claim(s) 14, drawn to a process for producing a feed and/or feed additive.

Group VIII, claim(s) 15, drawn to an animal feed containing diformate.

Group IX, claim(s) 16, drawn to the use of a diformate composition as a performance enhancer and/or growth promoter.

Group X, claim(s) 17, drawn to drawn to the use of a diformate composition as an acidifier.

Application/Control Number:

10/521,202 Art Unit: 1618

Group XII, claim(s) 18, drawn to the use of a diformate composition as a preservative.

Group XII, claim(s) 19, drawn to the use of a diformate composition as ensiling agent.

Group XIII, claim(s) 20, drawn to the use of a diformate composition as a fertilizer.

The inventions listed as Groups I-XIII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: As described within Johnsen et al. (US 6,132,796) a method for production of a feed for salmon using Na or K diformates is described, however the reference does not describe the same methods to make the animal feeds as within groups II-V (no mention of melt, emulsion or desublimation). Johnsen also does not describe using the preparations in any other aspect other then as a protectant for salmonides feed. Thus applicant's claims do not relate to a single special technical feature.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number:

10/521,202

Art Unit: 1618

Page 4

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James W. Rogers, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-7838. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Hartley can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MICHAEL G. HARTLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER